

Elements of a Good Workshop Proposal
a. Title
b. Track
c. Abstract – 150-words max.
d. Overview of Relevant Literature, Theoretical Framework & Concepts
e. Learning Outcomes
f. Outline of Presentation (Delivery Methods/ Timeline)
g. References

TITLE: Building our own table: Strategies for Women of Color to break the concrete ceiling and move up the leadership ladder

TRACK: Leadership

ABSTRACT OF TOPIC

The number of women in higher ed senior leadership is disproportionately low. Those numbers are alarmingly lower for women of color. Research shows that gender and race intersect in higher education such that women of color are disproportionately negatively impacted when it comes to attaining leadership roles. 86 percent of administrators are white, seven percent are Black, two percent Asian and three percent Latinx. Less than a third of higher ed presidents have been women, and the majority of them have been white women. Among faculty members, white men occupy the largest numbers of senior positions, and in recent years, white women have made significantly more gains than women of color. Despite improvements in recruitment and retention of women of color faculty, we see a concrete ceiling when it comes to meaningful advancement in higher education careers.

Overview:

The co-presenters hold leadership roles (senior vice provost, associate vice president, and research center director) and will share how they have leveraged their roles and built bridges across offices to develop policies and strategies to support the advancement of women of color. This interactive workshop will present research, share stories and explore strategies to develop institutional pipelines for women of color to obtain, succeed and thrive in senior leadership roles.

Learning Outcomes:

1. Gain understanding of the research on leadership access for women of color.
2. Gain understanding of how to work across offices to effectively establish the data and policy story for your institution
3. Learn how to develop and institute a pipeline for leadership

4. Explore innovative mentoring programs that center pathways to leadership
5. Identify effective networks both internal and external to an institution

Delivery- 45 Minute Interactive Presentation and Discussion

- I. Introduction (4 minutes)
- II. The background data (4 minutes)
- III. Why do we have these gaps (5 minutes)
 - A. Underrepresentation
 - B. Lack of access to effective career planning
 - C. Gender and racial bias
 - D. Culture of compliance, deference
- IV. What do we do? (7 minutes)
 - A. Connecting policies, practices, organizational culture
 - B. The role of leaders
 - C. Sponsors and mentors
- V. What is the institution's responsibility? (5 minutes)
 - A. Empower "Inclusive Excellence"
 - B. Align policies and practices
- VI. Small group breakouts - strategic visioning exercise (10 minutes)
- VII. Large group discussion - sharing our blueprints (10 minutes)

References

- Silbert, A., Punty, M., Gonheim, E.B. (Jan. 2022) *The Women's Power Gap at Elite Universities: Scaling the Ivory Tower*. Eos Foundation
- Alcalde, M. C., and Subramaniam, M. (2023). *Dismantling Institutional Whiteness: Emerging Forms of Leadership in Higher Education*. Purdue University Press.
- Seltzer, R. (June 17, 2017) [*The Slowly Diversifying Presidency*](#). Chronicle of Higher Education.